GP1642



Docket No. 48012

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re a Serial Filed: For:	pplication of: No.:	David E. Fisher 09/229,283 January 13, 1999 USE OF MICROPHTI AND/OR TREATMENT		•	S. Ungar PROGNOSIS,		
	ant Commission ngton, D.C. 2023				TC 160		
		RESTRICTION REQUIR	EMENTTRANS	MITTAL	FEB 24 2000 C 1600 MAIL ROOM		
1.	Transmitted her	rewith is a restriction requirem	ent for this applica	tion.	L ROC		
		STA	TUS		7		
2.	[]	entity. A statement: is attached. was already filed. ann a small entity.	N OF TERM		. ·		
NOTE:	Non-Final Office A	e in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendation, an extension of time is not rethe shortened statutory period.	dments) — If a timely a	nd complete response and/or entry of an a	has been filed after a additional amendment		
		CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/T	RANSMISSION (37	C.F.R. 1.8(a))			
I hereby	certify that, on the o	late shown below, this corresponder	nce is being:				
	MA	ILING		FACSIMILE			
x	with sufficient pos envelope addresse	United States Postal Service tage as first class mail in an d to the Assistant Patents, Washington, D.C.	transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office. M. W. Fall - Signature				
Date: 2	17/2000		Nicole L. M. Val	ne of person certifyin			
				(Amendment Tran	smittal—page 1 of 4)		



Docket No.: 41956-DIV

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: David E. Fisher

EXAMINER: S. Ungar

SERIAL NO.: 09/229,283

GROUP: 1642

FILED: January 13, 1999

FOR: USE OF MICROPHTHALMIA FOR DIAGNOSIS, PROGNOSIS, AND/OR

TREATMENT OF MELANOMA

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that the following items are being were.

Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope admits and Trademark, Washington, DC 20231, on the 17 central trademark, Wash I hereby certify that the following items are being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to

2. Restriction Requirement.

imely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. See 37 C.F.R. 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. 1.550(c) for extensions of time in NOTE: reexamination proceedings. 3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136 apply. (complete (a) or (b), as applicable) Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (a) (fees: 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below: Extension Fee for other than Fee for (months) small entity small entity one month \$110.00 \$55.00 \$380.00 \$190.00 two months \$870.00 \$435.00 three months \$1360.00 \$680.00 four months Fee: If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor. (check and complete the next item, if applicable) An extension for months has already been secured. The fee paid therefor of [] is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested. Extension fee due with this request OR Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional (b) [] petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4.	The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

		•				OTHER THAN A					
	(Col.1	1)	(Col.	(Col. 2) (Col. 3) SMALL ENTITY			SMALL ENTITY				
	Ren A	laims naining After endmer		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Addit. Fee	OR	Rate	Addit. Fee	
	Ame	manner	111	, alu l'oi	LXIII	Nate	1 00	OK	Rate	1 00	
Total		*	Minus	**	=	x \$11 =	\$		x \$22 =	\$	
Indep.		*	Minus	***	=	x \$41 =	\$		x \$82 =	\$	
[] Fii	rst Pres	entatio	on of Mul	tiple Depender	nt Claim	+ \$135 =	\$		+ \$270 =	\$.	
						Total Addit. Fee	\$	OR	Total Addit. Fee	\$	
of <i>WARNI</i>		"Afte	"After final rejection or action (§ 1.113) amendments may be made canceling claims or complying with any requirement of form which has been made." 37 C.F.R. 1.116(a) (emphasis added).								
						d), as applica		,			
	(c)	[X] No a	dditional fee for	or claims i	is required.					
					Ol	R					
	(d)	[]	Tota	l additional fee	for claim	s required \$ _		<u>-</u> _			
					FEE PAY	YMENT					
5.	[]	Atta	ached is a								

FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

Customer No.

Boston, Massachusetts 02110

BOS 336397.1

Docket No.: 48012

EXAMINER: S. Ungar

1642

GROUP:

E UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: David E. Fisher

SERIAL NO.: 09/229,283

FILED: January 13, 1999

USE OF MICROPHTHALMIA FOR DIAGNOSIS, PROGNOSIS FOR:

AND/OR TREATMENT OF MELANOMA

RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement of January 18, 2000, applicant elects Group I, claims 1-4 directed to a method for diagnosing melanoma with traverse. Applicant elects as a species, probe the antibody (claim 2).

REMARKS

Although applicant elects Group I, applicant traverses with respect to Group II (claims 5-7 and 11-12). By the Examiner's own admission, Group I and II fall into the same exact search group. Namely, Class 435, subclasses 4, 6, and 7.1. Thus the search of Group I is coextensive with Group II.

Accordingly, the inventions of Group I and II do not have different classifications and the basis for restriction for examination purposes is not proper under the standard set forth by the Office. Indeed, the Examiner never discussed any differences between Groups I and II, but rather contrasted them with Group III and IV. Thus, applicant respectfully submits that Group I and II should be combined into a single group and examined together.

Applicant reaffirms his species selection of the antibody as a probe for that combined group.

With regard to the Notice to Comply with Requirements for Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence and/or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures, applicant is submitting simultaneously herewith the appropriate documents to comply with those requirements (directed to Box Sequence).

Applicant respectfully submits that all claims are in condition for allowance. Early and favorable action is requested.

Date: 3/17/00

Ronald I. Eisenstein (Reg. No.: 30,628) NIXON PEABODY LLP

101 Federal Street Boston, MA 02110 (617) 345-6054